Exhibit 27

In The Matter Of:

IN RE SEPTEMBER 11 LITIGATION

ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO February 11, 2008

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/
CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
TC REPORTING in affliation with Merrill

25 West 45TH Street - Suite 900 New York, NY 10036 PH: 516-795-7444 / FAX: 212-692-9171

CAMMAROTO, ROBERT J. - Vol. 1

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 3 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 21
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
09:14:50	2	daylight time on September 11, 2001. I will try
09:14:55	3	to make the time period clear in my questions,
09:14:57	4	but I may fail. But unless I say otherwise you
09:15:00	5	should interpret my questions as referring only
09:15:03	6	to security procedures in effect on or before
09:15:07	7	9/11, 2001. On the rare occasion if any I ask
09:15:13	8	any post 9/11 questions. I will make the time
09:15:15	9	explicit.
09:15:18	10	I would like to turn first to topic
09:15:21	11	2 which relates to CAPPS and is described on
09:15:25	12	page 4 and 5 of the February 7, 2008 final
09:15:29	13	determination. First of all, to avoid any
09:15:36	14	mystery what does the acronym CAPPS stands for?
09:15:39	15	A. The if acronym for CAPPS went
09:15:41	16	through several iterations, but the one was in
09:15:47	17	place on September 11 Computer Assisted
09:15:50	18	Passenger Prescreening System.
09:15:50	19	Q. Would you briefly describe for us
09:15:51	20	the purpose of the CAPPS program as it existed
09:15:54	21	on September 11, 2001?
09:15:54	22	A. The primary purpose of the CAPPS
09:15:56	23	program was to provide a tool to the air
09:16:01	24	carriers by which they could focus their limited
09:16:04	25	resources on checked baggage, typically for

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 4 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 22
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
09:16:08	2	explosives in checked baggage.
09:16:10	3	Q. What was the nature of the security
09:16:12	4	threat that the CAPPS program was designed to
09:16:14	5	address?
09:16:14	6	A. It was primarily designed to
09:16:17	7	address explosives secreted in in checked
09:16:22	8	baggage.
09:16:23	9	Q. Would it be fair to describe the
09:16:24	10	threat CAPPS was designed primarily to address
09:16:27	11	as being the threat of bombings of aircraft by
09:16:31	12	non-suicidal terrorists?
09:16:34	13	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
09:16:35	14	form.
09:16:36	15	MS. HESSION: Objection to the
09:16:36	16	form.
09:16:37	17	A. Yes, sir.
09:16:39	18	MR. PODESTA: I would like to now
09:16:40	19	have marked as the non-SSI Exhibit 756, a
09:16:45	20	document which purports to be it is from
09:16:51	21	the it bears document production numbers TSA
09:16:55	22	10358 through TSA 10409 and it purports to be a
09:17:05	23	Notice of Proposed Rule Making By the Federal
09:17:11	24	Aviation Administration Entitled Security of
09:17:14	25	Checked Baggage on Flights Within the United

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 5 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 80
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
10:39:00	2	effective countermeasures to what it perceived
10:39:03	3	the terrorist threat to be?
10:39:06	4	MS. HESSION: Objection.
10:39:07	5	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
10:39:07	6	form.
10:39:07	7	A. Yes, sir. I would add that what we
10:39:10	8	perceived to be one of the major threats.
10:39:13	9	Q. What were those major threats to
10:39:15	10	which you just referred?
10:39:16	11	A. That is we discussed earlier
10:39:18	12	regarding explosives in checked baggage.
10:39:27	13	Q. Now, I just like to turn back for a
10:39:31	14	moment to 7D of the ACSSP exhibit. As of 9/11,
10:39:44	15	under the ACSSP requirements then in effect,
10:39:50	16	were air carriers permitted to ask the baggage
10:39:58	17	control questions, the security questions in
10:40:01	18	written form if the passenger appeared to have
10:40:04	19	difficulty understanding them in oral form?
10:40:08	20	A. Yes, sir, the security program
10:40:10	21	permitted that.
10:40:13	22	Q. Is that permission set forth in
10:40:16	23	section D5 of the ACSSP on page 79?
10:40:27	24	A. Yes, sir.
10:40:28	25	Q. Where the passenger spoke a foreign

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 6 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 205
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:21:59	2	referring to the last clause of the knives
14:22:01	3	section?
14:22:01	4	A. Yes, sir, I see that. Yes, and we
14:22:03	5	were very much in tune with the local law at
14:22:06	6	that local site.
14:22:08	7	Q. When you mean to say is the
14:22:09	8	reference to local law a reference to the local
14:22:12	9	law of the jurisdiction in which the screening
14:22:15	10	activity takes place?
14:22:16	11	A. That's correct. Where the airport
14:22:19	12	would be responsible for the law enforcement
14:22:21	13	support, the law enforcement support they would
14:22:24	14	send that is how we were gauging it.
14:22:27	15	Q. As of 9/11 under the ACSSP and the
14:22:31	16	FAA's deadly or dangerous weapon guidelines, did
14:22:35	17	the FAA prohibit knives with blades less than
14:22:41	18	four inches long and that were legal under local
14:22:44	19	law from entering the sterile area?
14:22:47	20	A. We did not.
14:22:49	21	MR. PEPE: Objection to the form.
14:22:51	22	Q. As of 9/11 under the ACSSP and the
14:22:54	23	FAA's deadly or dangerous weapons guidelines,
14:22:58	24	were most knives with blades less than four
14:23:01	25	inches long permitted into the sterile area?

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 7 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 206
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:23:04	2	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:23:05	3	MS. HESSION: Objection.
14:23:05	4	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
14:23:06	5	A. It is hard to answer to the most
14:23:07	6	but certainly again a knife under four inches
14:23:10	7	would have been permitted outside of the fact
14:23:12	8	that the local jurisdiction may have precluded
14:23:15	9	them as was the case at Pittsburgh and Baltimore
14:23:18	10	in my own experience.
14:23:19	11	Q. I will ask you some more about
14:23:21	12	local laws in a minute. I would like to direct
14:23:23	13	your attention now to your Moussaoui testimony
14:23:31	14	at page 1845, lines 8 through 22. I will accept
14:23:35	15	the description of this testimony,
14:23:39	16	qualifications on it that Ms. Vargas has
14:23:42	17	previously stated.
14:23:43	18	MS. VARGAS: What page number
14:23:44	19	again?
14:23:44	20	MR. PODESTA: 1845 lines 8 to 22.
14:23:56	21	Q. Mr. Novack asked you at that point,
14:24:00	22	he is discussing this in the context of
14:24:02	23	Moussaoui revealing the details of the Al Qaeda
14:24:05	24	plot. "Let's talk about what if any
14:24:08	25	countermeasures you could have used before

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 8 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

·		Page 207
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:24:10	2	somebody got on the plane. Specifically, let's
14:24:12	3	talk about the use of the short bladed knives.
14:24:15	4	What is it that you could have done in relation
14:24:17	5	to prohibiting the four inch blades?
14:24:20	6	"Answer: We could have modified the
14:24:23	7	requirement that the air carriers had at that
14:24:27	8	time in the SSP. There was an Appendix I that
14:24:31	9	said what items were prohibited from going on
14:24:34	10	board the aircraft, some general categories,
14:24:36	11	some very specific, but it did have the
14:24:40	12	exception that blades less than four inches were
14:24:44	13	permitted basically. And we could have said in
14:24:47	14	a Security Directive, and that would have been
14:24:49	15	the appropriate vehicle, don't allow any blades
14:24:52	16	whatsoever. And that would have of course, had
14:24:55	17	to be applied at the checkpoint."
14:24:58	18	Did you give that answer at the
14:25:01	19	Moussaoui trial.
14:25:01	20	A. Yes, sir, I did.
14:25:02	21	Q. And was it truthful and accurate to
14:25:04	22	the best of your ability?
14:25:05	23	A. Yes, sir.
14:25:06	24	Q. I would like to direct your
14:25:20	25	attention to page 1877 of your testimony at the

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 9 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 209
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:26:34	2	A. Yes, sir.
14:26:36	3	Q. And were they truthful and accurate
14:26:37	4	to the best of your ability?
14:26:39	5	A. Yes, sir.
14:26:43	6	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:26:44	7	Q. I am just trying to see if you
14:26:50	8	could help me with understanding the meaning of
14:26:55	9	the knives paragraph in Appendix I. As of 9/11,
14:27:00	10	under the ACSSP and FAA's deadly or dangerous
14:27:08	11	weapons guidelines as set forth in Appendix I
14:27:11	12	were knives with played length of less than four
14:27:14	13	inches allowed into the sterile area unless they
14:27:17	14	came within one of the two following exceptions:
14:27:21	15	First, they were a type of knife that illegal
14:27:26	16	under local law, or two, the screener exercised
14:27:30	17	his or her common sense to conclude that a
14:27:34	18	particular knife under four inches should be
14:27:37	19	treated as a deadly or dangerous weapon?
14:27:39	20	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:27:40	21	MS. HESSION: Objection, objection
14:27:41	22	to the form.
14:27:41	23	A. In those two circumstances they
14:27:43	24	would be permitted.
14:27:46	25	Q. But apart from those two exceptions

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 10 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 210
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:27:48	2	did FAA regulations as in effect on 9/11 permit
14:27:51	3	knives with a blade length of less than four
14:27:54	4	inches to enter the sterile area?
14:27:58	5	MR. ELSNER: Objection.
14:28:00	6	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:28:00	7	A. Of less than four inches, yes.
14:28:02	8	Q. Under the FAA regulations in effect
14:28:04	9	on 9/11, did the FAA maintain any list or
14:28:06	10	compilation of local laws pertaining to the
14:28:10	11	carriage of knives by members of the general
14:28:12	12	public?
14:28:14	13	A. Not that I'm aware of, sir.
14:28:17	14	Q. Are you aware of any Massachusetts,
14:28:20	15	state or local law in force on 9/11 that
14:28:24	16	prohibited members of the general public from
14:28:27	17	possessing or carrying Swiss Army knives?
14:28:32	18	MR. WARDEN: Objection.
14:28:32	19	A. I was not aware of that law.
14:28:35	20	Q. Leatherman multitools?
14:28:37	21	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:28:37	22	A. I was not aware of that, sir.
14:28:39	23	Q. Pocket utility knives?
14:28:42	24	MR. ELSNER: Objection.
14:28:44	25	Q. You have to answer audibly. You

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 11 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 212
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:29:29	2	Q. My last local law, New Jersey. Are
14:29:31	3	you aware of any New Jersey state or local law
14:29:36	4	in force on 9/11 that prohibited members of the
14:29:39	5	general public from possessing or carrying Swiss
14:29:43	6	Army knives?
14:29:44	7	A. I am not aware of one.
14:29:45	8	Q. Leatherman multitools?
14:29:47	9	A. Not aware of it, sir.
14:29:48	10	Q. Pocket utility knives?
14:29:49	11	A. Have no awareness.
14:29:51	12	Q. Box cutters?
14:29:52	13	A. Was not aware, sir.
14:29:55	14	Q. Under the ACSSP and the FAA deadly
14:30:00	15	or dangerous weapons guidelines as in effect on
14:30:03	16	9/11, if a screener discovered a knife with a
14:30:08	17	blade length under four inches during a
14:30:10	18	screening process, and there was no indication
14:30:13	19	that it was unlawful for members of the general
14:30:15	20	public to carry that type of knife under local
14:30:18	21	law, was the screener free to return that knife
14:30:22	22	to the passenger unless he found that particular
14:30:25	23	knife threatening?
14:30:26	24	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:30:27	25	MS. VARGAS: Objection.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 12 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 213
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:30:28	2	A. Yes, sir.
14:30:29	3	Q. By free to return, I mean free to
14:30:31	4	return it to the passenger and the passenger
14:30:34	5	could take it into the sterile area?
14:30:35	6	A. The caveat it was under four
14:30:37	7	inches?
14:30:38	8	Q. Yes.
14:30:38	9	A. Yes.
14:30:46	10	MR. PODESTA: I would like to have
14:30:48	11	marked as the next exhibit TSA 11526. This is
14:30:51	12	an SSI exhibit. I think this is part of a three
14:31:00	13	page exhibit, so what I am introducing is TSA
14:31:04	14	11526 through TSA 11528 the reporter tells me
14:31:17	15	this is SSI Exhibit 18.
14:31:17	16	(Deposition Exhibit SSI 18
14:31:17	17	for identification, Knives and Sharp Objects, no
14:31:42	18	production.)
14:31:42	19	Q. It is a document dated September
14:31:44	20	16, 2001 and bears the caption Knives And Sharp
14:31:49	21	Objects. It has apparently a distinguished
14:31:53	22	author, a Bob Cammaroto.
14:31:56	23	MS. VARGAS: Objection,
14:31:57	24	foundation.
14:32:00	25	A. I second the objection.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 13 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 234
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:01:34	2	MR. PODESTA: As to the
15:01:35	3	consideration. Yes.
15:01:36	4	MR. PEPE: Objection to the form.
15:01:37	5	A. The FAA took that into
15:01:39	6	consideration and made that one of the factors
15:01:41	7	in its decision not to modify the maximum
15:01:44	8	length.
15:01:45	9	Q. Before 9/11 was the FAA aware that
15:01:49	10	it was possible for terrorists to improvise
15:01:53	11	small cutting implements once they were inside
15:01:55	12	the sterile area?
15:01:58	13	A. Yes, sir.
15:02:00	14	Q. For example, prior to 9/11 were
15:02:01	15	wine bottles permitted inside the sterile area?
15:02:06	16	A. Yes, sir.
15:02:09	17	Q. Prior to 9/11 under the FAA
15:02:11	18	regulations in force, could items in glass
15:02:14	19	bottles be purchased inside the sterile area at
15:02:17	20	many domestic airports?
15:02:19	21	A. Yes, sir.
15:02:20	22	Q. Isn't it relatively easy for a
15:02:28	23	trained terrorist to convert a wine bottle into
15:02:30	24	a weapon?
15:02:31	25	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 14 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 235
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:02:32	2	MR. PEPE: Objection.
15:02:33	3	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
15:02:34	4	MR. PODESTA: He testified on the
15:02:35	5	subject.
15:02:37	6	A. A wine bottle could be used as a
15:02:39	7	weapon. It could be made to be used as a
15:02:41	8	weapon.
15:02:41	9	Q. I would like to direct you Mr.
15:02:45	10	Moussaoui Mr. Cammaroto, pardon the insult.
15:02:50	11	Although maybe that is a good idea, maybe I will
15:02:52	12	depose him, too.
15:02:54	13	A. That is not a Freudian slip there.
15:02:57	14	Q. I direct you testimony to pages
15:03:01	15	1879 and 1880 of your Moussaoui trial testimony
15:03:11	16	starting at the question page 1879 line 23 and
15:03:23	17	continuing to 1880. The question you
15:03:40	18	answered I believe this is cross-examination
15:03:41	19	by defense counsel. "In fact all you can take
15:03:45	20	glass you can take glass bottles on to an
15:03:48	21	airplane; couldn't you?
15:03:49	22	"Answer: Especially if they were
15:03:51	23	empty, yes, sir.
15:03:52	24	"Question: Especially what?
15:03:53	25	"Answer: Especially if they were

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 15 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 236
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:03:55	2	empty, sure.
15:03:56	3	"Question: Well, you could take
15:03:57	4	them on if they were full, if they were unopened
15:04:00	5	you could take glass bottles on?
15:04:02	6	"Answer: If they were sealed yes,
15:04:04	7	sir.
15:04:04	8	"Question: In fact you could take
15:04:06	9	an unopened bottle of wine onto an airplane;
15:04:09	10	couldn't you?
15:04:13	11	"Answer: Yes, you could.
15:04:14	12	"Question: And in fact the
15:04:15	13	Vineyards would sell carrying cases you can take
15:04:19	14	right on to the airplane, right? You can put
15:04:22	15	three bottles on and take them in."
15:04:24	16	Your answer was "Unfortunately I
15:04:26	17	never had the pleasure of visiting the wine
15:04:28	18	country.
15:04:29	19	"Question: You never had the
15:04:30	20	pleasure, but you seem to know I can walk up
15:04:33	21	with my little case of three from the Sonoma
15:04:35	22	Valley and walk onto an airplane in those days
15:04:38	23	in San Francisco and put it on that conveyor
15:04:41	24	belt we saw and have it just go on through and
15:04:44	25	nobody is that right?

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 16 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 237
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:04:45	2	"Answer: I was going to say that is
15:04:47	3	probably fair.
15:04:48	4	"Question: And nobody would object
15:04:49	5	to it?
15:04:50	6	"Answer: I would think you're
15:04:51	7	right. I think somebody would have probably done
15:04:53	8	what we called the bottle check in those days to
15:04:55	9	make sure it didn't contain gasoline or
15:04:58	10	something like that, so they weren't just
15:04:59	11	completely ignored."
15:05:01	12	It goes on "Right, but it was in
15:05:03	13	terms of being a potential weapon there was no
15:05:05	14	prohibition on it?"
15:05:07	15	Your answer was "Once it had been
15:05:09	16	determined not to be other than what it
15:05:11	17	purported to be, yes."
15:05:12	18	Did you give those answers in
15:05:14	19	response to those questions in your Moussaoui
15:05:16	20	testimony.
15:05:16	21	MR. TOMASIK: Objection.
15:05:17	22	MR. PEPE: Objection.
15:05:18	23	A. Yes, sir.
15:05:19	24	Q. Do you believe them to be truthful
15:05:21	25	and accurate to the best of your ability?

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 17 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 238
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:05:22	2	MR. PEPE: Objection.
15:05:23	3	A. Yes, sir.
15:05:26	4	Q. Can a wine bottle be turned into a
15:05:27	5	weapon in a matter of seconds, Mr. Cammaroto?
15:05:31	6	MR. TOMASIK: Objection.
15:05:31	7	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
15:05:32	8	A. A wine bottle can be used as a
15:05:35	9	weapon, yes, sir.
15:05:46	10	Q. On page 1881 of your testimony in
15:05:52	11	this wine bottle sequence question if you know
15:05:54	12	perfectly well I can turn that into a weapon in
15:05:57	13	a matter of seconds; right?" Your answer "I
15:06:00	14	imagine it could be, yes."
15:06:02	15	Did you give that answer at your
15:06:04	16	Moussaoui trial testimony?
15:06:05	17	MR. PEPE: Objection, hearsay.
15:06:06	18	A. Are you talking about lines
15:06:09	19	Q. 17 through 19 on 1881.
15:06:15	20	A. Yes, sir, I see that. Yes.
15:06:17	21	Q. Was that truthful and accurate to
15:06:19	22	the best of your ability?
15:06:20	23	A. Yes, sir.
15:06:22	24	Q. Many bar fight has provided the
15:06:24	25	dangers of a broken bottle; hasn't it?

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 18 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		5 000
	4	Page 239
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:06:27	2	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
15:06:28	3	MR. TOMASIK: Objection.
15:06:29	4	MS. VARGAS: The FAA is not
15:06:30	5	testifying as to the dangers of bar fights.
15:06:35	6	Q. A sharp edge broken bottle could be
15:06:37	7	a dangerous weapon, could it not, if the
15:06:40	8	terrorist had the advantage of surprise in
15:06:42	9	confronting a flight attendant in the close
15:06:45	10	quarters of an airport cabin?
15:06:47	11	MR. PEPE: Objection.
15:06:48	12	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
15:06:48	13	A. Yes, sir.
15:07:00	14	Q. Going back to Exhibit 771, there is
15:07:01	15	a category rationales that may or may not have
15:07:04	16	formed a basis for FAA's decision to maintain
15:07:07	17	the four inch rule.
15:07:10	18	Did your investigation reveal any
15:07:12	19	rationales for the any possible reasons for
15:07:17	20	the four inch knife rule you could not either
15:07:20	21	definitively rule in or rule out as being a
15:07:23	22	reason for the rule?
15:07:26	23	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection.
15:07:27	24	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
15:07:28	25	form.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 19 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 245
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:12:44	2	average passenger?
15:12:45	3	A. There is no study on that I'm
15:12:47	4	aware of, sir.
15:12:48	5	Q. Prior to 9/11 did the FAA conduct
15:12:51	6	any analysis of the effect of a prohibition of
15:12:53	7	short bladed knives on checkpoint congestion
15:12:57	8	and length of passenger lines at security
15:13:00	9	checkpoints?
15:13:00	10	A. Not that I'm aware of, sir.
15:13:03	11	Q. As of 9/11 had the FAA made a
15:13:06	12	deliberate decision to permit entry of most
15:13:09	13	short bladed knives into the sterile area and to
15:13:11	14	permit their carriage on board commercial
15:13:14	15	passenger aircraft?
15:13:16	16	MS. HESSION: Objection to the
15:13:17	17	form.
15:13:17	18	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
15:13:17	19	A. What we had determined and
15:13:19	20	memorialized through the language in the SSP is
15:13:22	21	that four inch test was the bellwether.
15:13:27	22	Q. Prior to 9/11 did the FAA consider
15:13:30	23	a prohibition on the entry of all short bladed
15:13:33	24	knives into the sterile area to be reasonably
15:13:37	25	necessary for the security of the traveling

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 20 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 246
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:13:39	2	public?
15:13:40	3	A. Sorry, I ask you repeat that.
15:13:42	4	Q. Yes. Prior to 9/11 did the FAA
15:13:44	5	consider a prohibition on the entry of all short
15:13:47	6	bladed knives into the sterile area to be
15:13:51	7	reasonably necessary for the security of the
15:13:53	8	traveling public?
15:13:55	9	A. It did not.
15:14:01	10	MR. PEPE: Move to strike.
15:14:02	11	Q. Prior to 9/11 did the FAA have the
15:14:04	12	authority to prohibit the entry of all short
15:14:08	13	bladed knives into the sterile area if it had
15:14:11	14	considered such a prohibition to be necessary,
15:14:14	15	reasonably necessary for protection of the
15:14:17	16	traveling public?
15:14:17	17	MR. PEPE: Objection.
15:14:18	18	MR. TOMASIK: Objection.
15:14:19	19	A. Yes, sir, we had that authority.
15:14:25	20	MR. PODESTA: I would like to have
15:14:26	21	now marked as Exhibit TSA 11524. This is a
15:14:55	22	restricted use document.
15:14:56	23	(Deposition Exhibit SSI 19
15:15:14	24	for identification, FAA Position on Box Cutters,
15:14:27	25	production numbers TSA 11524.)

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 21 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 360
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:47:42	2	form.
17:47:42	3	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:47:43	4	form, foundation.
17:47:44	5	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:47:44	6	form.
17:47:44	7	A. Sir, I am going to stick with the
17:47:46	8	not inconsistent.
17:47:48	9	Q. Okay. I tried.
17:48:20	10	I think Mr. Podesta asked you about
17:48:22	11	some of your testimony in the Moussaoui trial
17:48:26	12	where you indicated what the FAA could have done
17:48:31	13	if it had some specific intelligence in the way
17:48:35	14	of additional countermeasures. Do you remember
17:48:37	15	those questions?
17:48:38	16	A. I remember the general line of
17:48:40	17	questioning, yes, sir.
17:48:41	18	Q. Sorry, you remember what?
17:48:42	19	A. The general line of questioning, I
17:48:44	20	don't know
17:48:45	21	Q. I don't want to repeat all of
17:48:47	22	those. But I want to make sure you have the
17:48:49	23	line of questions in context of my next question
17:48:50	24	okay.
17:48:51	25	A. Yes, sir. Thank you.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 22 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 361
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:48:55	2	Q. You were talking about
17:48:56	3	countermeasures the FAA itself could have
17:48:59	4	specifically required the airlines to follow by
17:49:03	5	issuing SDs, Security Directives; correct?
17:49:07	6	A. That's correct, yes, sir.
17:49:08	7	Q. Would it be a fair statement that
17:49:13	8	all of the examples of additional
17:49:15	9	countermeasures that the FAA could have required
17:49:17	10	before September 11, 2001 were feasible as far
17:49:20	11	as you were concerned?
17:49:21	12	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:49:23	13	form.
17:49:23	14	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:49:23	15	form.
17:49:23	16	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:49:24	17	form.
17:49:27	18	A. Do you mean the contingency
17:49:29	19	measures in Appendix XV?
17:49:31	20	Q. No. I actually mean
17:49:34	21	MS. VARGAS: Refer him to the
17:49:35	22	pages of the Moussaoui testimony for
17:49:37	23	clarification as to the countermeasures.
17:49:41	24	Q. Sure. In your testimony in
17:49:42	25	Moussaoui at page 1845 to 1846 you talk about

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 23 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 362
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:49:49	2	prohibiting blades shorter than four inches.
17:49:56	3	A. Yes, sir.
17:49:57	4	Q. On page 1853 you talk about
17:50:04	5	additional screening measures in the form of
17:50:07	6	pat-down and physical searches in following
17:50:10	7	walk-through metal detector. Do you see that?
17:50:17	8	A. Yes, sir.
17:50:17	9	Q. On page 1859 in your Moussaoui
17:50:19	10	testimony you talk about changing the
17:50:22	11	sensitivity of the walk-through metal detectors
17:50:24	12	for smaller metallic items; right?
17:50:27	13	A. I am looking there, sir. Yes, sir.
17:50:32	14	Q. All of those countermeasures were
17:50:36	15	feasible, put differently all of those
17:50:39	16	particular actions could have been done before
17:50:42	17	September 11, 2001, it is just that the FAA did
17:50:48	18	not have specific intelligence to direct them to
17:50:50	19	be done; am I right?
17:50:52	20	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
17:50:55	21	Speculative and it calls for opinion testimony.
17:50:58	22	MR. PODESTA: Also object it is
17:51:00	23	outside the topic. It is getting into the
17:51:02	24	threat assessment.
17:51:03	25	MS. VARGAS: I don't believe we

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 24 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 363
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:51:04	2	are getting into threat assessments. I disagree
17:51:07	3	with you, Mr. Podesta. But I am going to
17:51:12	4	instruct the witness not to answer. It's
17:51:16	5	speculative as to what the FAA could or could
17:51:17	6	not have done in a particular situation that was
17:51:22	7	not presented to it.
17:51:22	8	MR. PODESTA: I will accept that.
17:51:23	9	Q. I am not asking what the FAA could
17:51:25	10	have done. I apologize if I conveyed that. I
17:51:27	11	am asking Mr. Cammaroto if the airlines could
17:51:33	12	have carried out those countermeasures before
17:51:36	13	September 11, 2001, that is was it feasible for
17:51:40	14	the airlines to carry out the countermeasures
17:51:42	15	that you mentioned in your Moussaoui testimony?
17:51:44	16	MR. PODESTA: Objection,
17:51:45	17	speculation and outside the topic.
17:51:48	18	MR. ELLIS: Can you specify for
17:51:49	19	record what countermeasures we are referring to
17:51:51	20	just so we are clear on the record?
17:51:53	21	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
17:51:55	22	MR. WARDEN: I think I went
17:51:56	23	through them with Mr. Cammaroto in specific
17:51:59	24	pages in his testimony. I am talking about
17:52:01	25	those specific pages and those specific

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 25 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 364
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:52:03	2	countermeasures.
17:52:03	3	MR. ELLIS: Just so we are clear on
17:52:05	4	the record, can we identify what the
17:52:06	5	countermeasures were? There were a lot of
17:52:09	6	countermeasures that were list.
17:52:12	7	Q. Let me ask Mr. Cammaroto if he can
17:52:13	8	answer my question. If he can't I will rephrase
17:52:15	9	it.
17:52:16	10	MS. VARGAS: Can we repeat the
17:52:17	11	question back because I need to hear it.
17:52:41	12	(The pending question was read as
17:52:41	13	follows:
17:51:24	14	"Question: I am not asking what
17:51:24	15	the FAA could have done. I apologize if I
17:51:27	16	conveyed that. I am asking Mr. Cammaroto if the
17:51:32	17	airlines could have carried out those
17:51:34	18	countermeasures before September 11, 2001, that
17:51:38	19	is was it feasible for the airlines to carry
17:51:41	20	out the countermeasures that you mentioned in
17:51:42	21	your Moussaoui testimony?")
17:52:42	22	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:52:42	23	form, speculation and beyond the scope of the
17:52:44	24	witness' 30(b)(6) testimony.
17:52:47	25	MS. VARGAS: Can we take a

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 26 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 366
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:54:53	2	Q. When you gave your testimony in the
17:54:55	3	Moussaoui trial, you were testifying in I
17:54:59	4	believe, the sentencing phase of a man that had
17:55:02	5	been convicted of murder; weren't you?
17:55:04	6	A. That's correct, sir.
17:55:06	7	Q. And you were giving testimony about
17:55:07	8	what you considered as the witness was accurate
17:55:15	9	and true; weren't you?
17:55:16	10	A. Yes, sir.
17:55:18	11	Q. So when you suggested a number of
17:55:21	12	different countermeasures on pages 1845 to 6,
17:55:30	13	1852, 1853, 1859 and 1860 to 1861 of your
17:55:39	14	testimony, those were measures that you
17:55:41	15	considered were feasible if the FAA had had
17:55:47	16	sufficiently specific intelligence to require
17:55:52	17	them; correct?
17:55:54	18	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection.
17:55:55	19	A. They were measures that I testified
17:55:57	20	we could have imposed. I would have a question
17:56:00	21	as to what feasibility means, but we could have
17:56:03	22	imposed them, yes, sir.
17:56:08	23	MR. PODESTA: Imposed.
17:56:09	24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17:56:10	25	Q. The FAA could have imposed them on

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 27 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 367
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:56:12	2	the airlines?
17:56:12	3	A. That's correct.
17:56:13	4	Q. Before September 11, 2001?
17:56:15	5	A. Yes, sir.
17:56:17	6	Q. And isn't it true the airlines
17:56:18	7	could have implemented all of those measures
17:56:20	8	themselves before September 11, 2001
17:56:23	9	MR. PODESTA: Objection.
17:56:24	10	Q if in the context of the
17:56:26	11	screening process they thought reasonable
17:56:29	12	judgement and caution required it?
17:56:31	13	MR. PODESTA: Objection.
17:56:33	14	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:56:34	15	form.
17:56:34	16	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:56:35	17	form.
17:56:35	18	MR. PODESTA: Outside the topic.
17:56:40	19	MS. VARGAS: I am going to allow it
17:56:41	20	purely to the extent you're asking him for
17:56:43	21	interpretation of the good judgment requirements
17:56:47	22	of Appendix II which is authorized. If you want
17:56:49	23	to ask him if the airlines under authority or
17:56:53	24	discretion they had under Appendix II they could
17:56:56	25	have imposed such a countermeasure, you can ask

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 28 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 374
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
18:02:55	2	A. It will just take a second. It
18:03:12	3	reads "Information indicates a terrorist group
18:03:14	4	or other hostile entity with known capability of
18:03:16	5	attacking civil aviation is likely to carry out
18:03:20	6	attacks against U.S. targets with civil
18:03:21	7	disturbances with direct impact on civil
18:03:22	8	aviation have begun or are imminent." So yes,
18:03:25	9	sir.
18:03:27	10	Q. So the answer to my question is,
18:03:28	11	yes, AVSEC Level III is there is a known
18:03:32	12	terrorist group with known capability that is
18:03:34	13	likely to attack civil aviation in the United
18:03:37	14	States?
18:03:37	15	MS. VARGAS: Objection, he read
18:03:38	16	the precise language of the AVSEC Alert Level
18:03:42	17	III. That is the language.
18:03:44	18	A. Yes, sir.
18:03:47	19	Q. When you answered Mr. Podesta's
18:03:49	20	question, AVSEC Level III was the environment,
18:03:54	21	you meant the environment was there was a known
18:03:57	22	terrorist group capable of attacking and likely
18:04:01	23	to do so. That was the environment pre $9/11$,
18:04:05	24	2001; correct?
18:04:07	25	MR. PODESTA: Objection.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 29 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 375
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
18:04:07	2	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
18:04:08	3	form.
18:04:08	4	A. Yes, sir.
18:04:09	5	Q. That was the context the airlines
18:04:11	6	had to take into account in exercising good
18:04:14	7	judgment in the whole screening process;
18:04:18	8	correct?
18:04:18	9	A. Yes, sir.
18:04:20	10	MR. WARDEN: Shall we stop for the
18:04:23	11	evening.
18:04:23	12	MS. VARGAS: That would be good.
18:04:28	13	MS. WINTER: I want to remind all
18:04:29	14	counsel they are to pass up all SSI documents
18:04:32	15	that were copies or marked today and none of
18:04:34	16	those SSI documents are to leave this room.
18:04:39	17	
18:04:41	18	
18:04:44	19	(Continued on following page.)
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

In The Matter Of:

IN RE SEPTEMBER 11 LITIGATION

ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO February 12, 2008

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/
CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
TC REPORTING in affliation with Merrill

25 West 45TH Street - Suite 900 New York, NY 10036 PH: 516-795-7444 / FAX: 212-692-9171

CAMMAROTO, ROBERT J. - Vol. 2

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 31 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 618
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:39:48	2	Q. Now, and as you testified
14:39:50	3	yesterday, the FAA actually considered the
14:39:53	4	possibility of changing the four inch knife rule
14:39:56	5	back in 1993; isn't that correct?
14:40:00	6	MR. TOMASIK: Objection.
14:40:01	7	A. That's correct, sir.
14:40:02	8	Q. The FAA decided in 1993 that it
14:40:05	9	should not change the four inch knife rule;
14:40:08	10	isn't that correct?
14:40:08	11	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:40:09	12	MR. TOMASIK: Objection, asked and
14:40:10	13	answered.
14:40:10	14	A. Yes, sir.
14:40:13	15	Q. And that decision by the FAA not to
14:40:16	16	change the four inch knife rule was based at
14:40:19	17	least in part on the view of persons within the
14:40:21	18	FAA to change that changing the rule would
14:40:25	19	not appreciably improve aviation security; isn't
14:40:28	20	that correct?
14:40:29	21	MR. PEPE: Objection, form.
14:40:30	22	MR. TOMASIK: Objection.
14:40:31	23	MS. VARGAS: Objection, asked and
14:40:31	24	answered.
14:40:33	25	A. Yes, sir.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 32 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		l l
		Page 619
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:40:33	2	Q. Is it fair to say, Mr. Cammaroto
14:40:34	3	that prior to 9/11 the FAA did not regard knives
14:40:39	4	with blades under four inches long as a high
14:40:42	5	priority threat item in terms of aviation
14:40:45	6	security?
14:40:46	7	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:40:46	8	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
14:40:47	9	form.
14:40:47	10	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection.
14:40:49	11	A. Well I believe that is the language
14:40:51	12	in the, in some of the documents, yes, sir.
14:40:55	13	Q. Now, I would like to direct your
14:40:56	14	attention back to appendices or ask you some
14:41:00	15	questions about Appendices I and II of the
14:41:03	16	ACSSP. Which is Exhibit 2D.
14:41:22	17	A. You said Appendix I and II?
14:41:24	18	Q. I and II, yes.
14:41:25	19	A. I have Appendix I. Yes, sir, go
14:41:30	20	ahead.
14:41:30	21	Q. Now, Appendixes I and II relate to
14:41:38	22	checkpoint screening guidelines; do they not?
14:41:41	23	A. Yes, sir.
14:41:44	24	Q. And Appendix I and II are intended
14:41:47	25	by the FAA for use primarily by individuals

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 33 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 638
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:00:33	2	<pre>government's counsel:</pre>
15:00:35	3	"Question: Now did FAA have its own
15:00:38	4	intelligence unit that would collect this
15:00:39	5	information from the other agencies?"
15:00:44	6	The transcript records your answer
15:00:45	7	as "Yes, we had a really robust and intelligence
15:00:51	8	group of folks."
15:00:55	9	MS. VARGAS: I think you misread
15:00:56	10	it. Excellent.
15:01:01	11	MR. PODESTA: What did I say?
15:01:02	12	MS. VARGAS: Intelligence.
15:01:03	13	Q. Your answer is even better. "Yes,
15:01:05	14	we had a really robust and excellent group of
15:01:07	15	folks." Do you recall giving that answer?
15:01:09	16	A. I do. I further say that they were
15:01:11	17	both intelligent and excellent, sir.
15:01:13	18	Q. Very good. Thank you.
15:01:14	19	MS. VARGAS: Don't elaborate, Bob.
15:01:17	20	Q. Yes, don't volunteer.
15:01:21	21	Now, you were also asked yesterday
15:01:23	22	about your testimony at the Moussaoui trial with
15:01:27	23	respect to the steps you indicated the FAA could
15:01:29	24	have implemented if it had received certain
15:01:32	25	information about the Al Qaeda plot from Mr.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 34 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 639
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:01:41	2	Moussaoui pre 9/11, do you recall that?
15:01:43	3	A. Yes, sir, I did.
15:01:47	4	Q. And I'd just like to ask you to
15:01:50	5	take a look at page 1841 of the transcript
15:02:03	6	specifically to the questions asked at page 10
15:02:05	7	through 22 lines 10 through 22.
15:02:07	8	A. Excuse me, I am on page 1841, what
15:02:11	9	lines are you asking about?
15:02:12	10	Q. 10 to 22. This time I am only
15:02:15	11	asking about a question. "If I can continue
15:02:17	12	then and ask you what type of countermeasures
15:02:19	13	you could have employed if you received
15:02:21	14	intelligence information that indicated that a
15:02:24	15	person had been arrested at a flight school,
15:02:26	16	taking inappropriate flight training, indicating
15:02:30	17	that they were a member of Al Qaeda, that they
15:02:33	18	were part of a larger plot to fly commercial
15:02:37	19	airliners into buildings in the United States of
15:02:39	20	America, and that that person, as well as
15:02:42	21	others, were going to overcome and take control
15:02:44	22	of the airplane through the use of short blade
15:02:49	23	knives. Could you explain to us what type of
15:02:52	24	countermeasures you could, that were available
15:02:54	25	to you that you could have employed as you did

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 35 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 640
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:02:57	2	in the past with, using perhaps Bojinka as an
15:03:00	3	example to counteract that threat?"
15:03:03	4	Do you recall being asked that
15:03:03	5	question at the Moussaoui trial?
15:03:06	6	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection. For the
15:03:08	7	record I do think this time that you have is
15:03:11	8	allotted for redirect. That is something
15:03:16	9	responsive to a question that was brought up
15:03:18	10	by
15:03:19	11	MR. PODESTA: Mr. Warden. Who
15:03:20	12	spent a half hour on this topic.
15:03:22	13	MR. MIGLIORI: No, we didn't. In
15:03:24	14	fact we did not get into it, the substance of
15:03:28	15	the Moussaoui. We think this is beyond cross
15:03:33	16	and beyond Touhy.
15:03:34	17	MS. VARGAS: If he would like to
15:03:35	18	authenticate this, I am not going to permit
15:03:38	19	testimony beyond authentication but since Mr.
15:03:40	20	Warden did authenticate testimony right in this
15:03:45	21	very area of testimony, then I will let him
15:03:48	22	authenticate testimony. But we are not going to
15:03:50	23	get into substance beyond that, it is beyond the
15:03:53	24	substance.
15:03:53	25	Q. Do you recall being asked that

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 36 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 641
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:03:55	2	question at the Moussaoui trial?
15:03:56	3	A. Yes, sir, I do.
15:03:57	4	Q. Was it in that context, the context
15:04:00	5	of responding to that question that you
15:04:02	6	described the countermeasures that the FAA could
15:04:04	7	have implemented in response to this
15:04:09	8	information?
15:04:10	9	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
15:04:11	10	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection.
15:04:11	11	MS. VARGAS: Do you have specific
15:04:12	12	testimony you would like him to authenticate, a
15:04:15	13	specific response to that question?
15:04:16	14	MR. PODESTA: Yes. All right.
15:04:17	15	MS. VARGAS: If you would like to
15:04:18	16	go to a particular response, we can go to that.
15:04:22	17	Q. You were asked at page 1845 line 8,
15:04:32	18	"All right, let's talk about what if any
15:04:34	19	countermeasures you could have used before
15:04:36	20	somebody got on a plane. Specifically let's
15:04:38	21	talk about the use of the short blade knives.
15:04:40	22	What is it you could have done in relation to
15:04:43	23	prohibiting the four inch blades?" Do you see
15:04:47	24	that question?
15:04:48	25	A. I do, sir.

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 37 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 642
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:04:49	2	Q. Were you answering that question
15:04:52	3	based on the hypothetical from Mr. Novak that I
15:04:57	4	just read to you from pages 1841 lines 10
15:05:02	5	through 22 of the transcript?
15:05:03	6	MR. MIGLIORI: That is not
15:05:05	7	authenticating.
15:05:06	8	MS. VARGAS: Can you read the
15:05:07	9	whole four pages and ask
15:05:09	10	MR. PODESTA: Go ahead.
15:05:10	11	MS. VARGAS: If you are limiting
15:05:11	12	the question to whether that answer is response
15:05:13	13	to that line of questioning, he can answer that
15:05:15	14	specific question. But read all four pages.
15:05:18	15	Q. Let me just read into the record
15:05:19	16	while you are doing that. The answer you gave
15:05:21	17	at 1845 lines 13 through 22 was "We could have
15:05:27	18	modified the requirement that the air carriers
15:05:30	19	had at that time in the SSP. There was an
15:05:32	20	Appendix 1 that said what items were prohibited
15:05:35	21	from going on board the aircraft, some general
15:05:38	22	categories, some very specific, but it did have
15:05:40	23	the exception that blades less than four inches
15:05:42	24	were permitted basically. And we could have
15:05:45	25	said in a Security Directive, and that would

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 38 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 643
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:05:47	2	have been the appropriate vehicle, don't allow
15:05:49	3	any blades whatsoever. And that would have, of
15:05:51	4	course had to be applied at the checkpoints."
15:05:54	5	Do you recall giving that testimony
15:05:55	6	at the Moussaoui trial?
15:05:56	7	A. Sorry, sir, I was reading the
15:05:59	8	information. Can I ask you to repeat that, I
15:06:03	9	apologize.
15:06:03 1	.0	MS. VARGAS: He will read it to
15:06:05 1	.1	himself.
15:06:06 1	.2	Q. Read it to yourself and tell me if
15:06:08 1	.3	you gave that answer.
15:06:09 1	. 4	MS. VARGAS: Read it to yourself,
15:06:10 1	.5	Bob.
15:06:11 1	. 6	A. How far did you want me to go to?
15:06:14 1	.7	MS. VARGAS: 1845.
15:06:15 1	.8	A. 1845.
15:06:16 1	.9	Q. Line 22.
15:06:54 2	20	A. Okay. I read to the question on
15:06:56 2	1.1	line 11 page 1845. "What is it you could have
15:06:59 2	.2	done in relation to prohibiting the four inch
15:07:02 2	13	blades?"
15:07:02 2	: 4	Q. Yes. Did you give the answer that
15:07:05 2	.5	I just read into the transcript?

Case 1:07-cv-07051-AKH Document 34-28 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 39 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 644
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
15:07:08	2	MR. PEPE: Objection.
15:07:09	3	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection.
15:07:09	4	A. Line 13 through line 22?
15:07:11	5	Q. Yes.
15:07:12	6	A. I will take a look, I stopped
15:07:13	7	reading it at that question.
15:07:27	8	Yes, sir, I did give that answer in
15:07:29	9	the context of what we could have done provided
15:07:32	10	information that allegedly Mr. Moussaoui had
15:07:35	11	withheld.
15:07:38	12	Q. As the type of information that Mr.
15:07:41	13	Novak described in the transcript at page 1841,
15:07:45	14	lines 10 through 22; is that correct?
15:07:49	15	MS. HESSION: Objection.
15:07:56	16	A. It was in response to that line of
15:07:58	17	questioning, yes, sir.
15:08:00	18	Q. Fine. Thank you. As a practical
15:08:03	19	matter, Mr. Cammaroto, do screeners have only a
15:08:06	20	limited amount of time to screen each passenger
15:08:11	21	passing through the security checkpoint?
15:08:14	22	MS. HESSION: Objection.
15:08:15	23	MR. PEPE: Objection to the form,
15:08:16	24	scope.
15:08:17	25	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the